

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/736,957 12/16/2003		Alain Duerr	66012-0010	4438		
10291	7590 06/07/2005		EXAMINER			
,	SHMAN & GRAUER I DWARD AVENUE	GEHMAN,	GEHMAN, BRYON P			
SUITE 140	DWARD AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610			3728			
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- wh			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		10/736,957		DUERR, ALAIN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bryon P. Geh		3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, by within the statutory will apply and will except the applications.	however, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day; pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. Immunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	eptember 200	4.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	·							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			_					
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/04.	4) 5) 6)			-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/736,957

Art Unit: 3728

1. Claims 6-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-10 have not been further treated on the merits.

Page 2

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being 3. indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 3 and 10, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu. 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,957

Art Unit: 3728

App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation "pharmaceutical formulation", and the claim also recites "a drug" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. In line 9, "and/or" is alternative and indefinite.

Page 3

In claim 3, line 2, "the covering" lacks antecedent basis from parent claim 1.

In claims 4 and 5, line 1 of each, "a plastic jacket" is double recitation in view of the claims dependency from claim 2, which already defines a plastic jacket.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dews (4,205,750). Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 01/87722. Each discloses a container (13; 10) having a transparent or nontransparent container wall and containing a pharmaceutical formulation and a covering (15; 30) which makes the pharmaceutical formulation appear opaque (hidden)

Application/Control Number: 10/736,957

Art Unit: 3728

is provided on the outside of the container wall so that the appearance of the pharmaceutical formulation is undetectable (hidden).

As to claims 2 and 4-5, WO 01/87722 discloses a plastic jacket (30).

As to claim 3, each discloses the covering (15; 30) detachably or undetachably arranged on the container wall.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lermer (5,495,944) in view of any one of Chapman (2,810,978), Shank Jr. (3,937,853), Cavanagh et al. (3,955,020), DE 19536416 and WO 01/87722. Lermer discloses a container for pharmaceutical formulations including a covering in the form of a label. Chapman, Shank Jr., Cavanagh et al., DE 19536416 and WO 01/87722 each disclose a container having a transparent or nontransparent container wall and a covering (13; as shown; 2; 5; 30), which makes the pharmaceutical formulation appear opaque (hidden) or altered in appearance, provided on the outside of the container wall so that the appearance of the pharmaceutical formulation is undetectable (hidden).

As to claims 2-5, each of the secondary references discloses a plastic jacket as the covering, detachably or undetachably arranged on the container wall.

Page 5

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dryn & Sect

Bryon P. Gehman **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3728